

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,632	10/26/2001	Susan M. Milberger	020375-000230US	9798	
20350 TOWNSEND	7590 11/16/2007 AND TOWNSEND AND	PEW IID	EXAMINER		
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER CHANDLER, SAR.			R, SARA M		
EIGHTH FLO	OR SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Di II Vi i I II VOI			3693		
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s) MILBERGER ET AL.	
Interview Summary	10/045,632	MILBERGER ET AL.		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sara Chandler	3693		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):			
(1) Sara Chandler.	(3) <u>Tadd Wilson</u> .			
(2) Jagdish Patel.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>01 November 2007</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's represe	ntative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	s e)⊡ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1.				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Doggett</u> .		·		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g)⊠ was not reached. □	n)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the genreached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sh</u>		eed to if an agreement was		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	no copy of the amendments		aims	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONG INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE II requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	o the last Office action has a SER OF ONE MONTH OR T INTERVIEW SUMMARY FO	ready been filed, APPLICANT HIRTY DAYS FROM THIS DRM, WHICHEVER IS LATER		
		•		
		JAGDISH N. PATEL	_	
	\bigcirc	a la		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner	s signature, if required	_	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant was provided opportunity to describe their invention and what was beilieved to be distinctions between the prior art. Examiners provided the following suggestions to help advance prosecution:

The following limitations should be incorporated in every single independent claim.

1) Define terms- i.e., handler- financial instituition vs. individual? interfaced w/payment enabler?, stored value fund - internal vs. external? single vs. 2 or more (i.e., one account for payor and another for payee)? temporary vs. long term, acount? 2) Clarify who or what is performing each step. 3) Provide a positively and actively recited step that converting the credit value input from the handler(s) of the payor to the credit value output from the handler(s) of the payee (e.g., money to mileage credit, 1st currency to 2nd currency). 4) Is a payor always putting money in via first group of handler? Is payee always taking money out via second group of handlers? If so, must the payee be designated or identified? Can there be a plurality of payors and/or payees? 5) Now that you have all these different handlers focus on what is actually done because there are a plurality of handlers. What steps are performed? Currently, this in nonfunctional because nothing done with the fact that there are many types of handlers.

Applicant may also wish to address other features such as billing, messaging etc..